



Benchmark Statement as of 1-May-2024

The purpose of this disclosure is to outline the information required in Article 27 of the EU regulation on indices used as financial benchmarks ("BMR") and the Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2018/1643, 2020/1816, 2020/1817, 2020/1818 and the Benchmarks (Amendment and Transitional Provision) (EU Exit) Regulations n. 657/ 2019.

This document includes clear definitions of the market or economic reality measured by the benchmark and the circumstances in which such measurement may become unreliable, outlines rules around exercise of judgement or discretion and provides some additional information about the benchmark.

This benchmark statement is provided by StatPro as the administrator of the ECPI Index family of indices. This disclosure references and should be read in conjunction with the following methodology and policy documents available at www.confluence.com/index-governance

#### **GENERAL DISCLOSURE**

1.1	Date of publication and update	This Benchmark Statement was first published on September 2019; last revision was made on June 2024.
1.2	Review of Benchmark Statement	StatPro is the administrator. StatPro will revise this benchmark statement if material changes are made to the information contained within it, but a review will take place at a minimum of once every two years.
1.3	Use of ISIN	The Index Family is identifiable via Index tickers as no ISINs are assigned
1.4	Contributions of input data	The Index Family does not use contributions of input data.
1.5	Regulated-data benchmark disclosure	The ECPI Indices are not regulated data benchmarks.
1.6	Specific disclosure requirements for significant and non-significant benchmarks	The ECPI Indices includes only non-significant benchmarks according to point 27 of Article 3(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/1011.
INDEX (	CHARACTERISTICS	
2.1	Market Reality	The ECPI Robotics and Artificial Intelligence Equity Index is an equally weighted equity index designed to offer investors exposure to companies in the Global market which are the ones best placed to seize the opportunities presented by Robotics and Automation technologies and Artificial Intelligence.
2.2	Use of Discretion	ECPI indices are calculated and rebalanced in accordance with objective rules-based methodologies and do not allow for subjective or discretionary selection of index components. If there were to be exceptional circumstances that are not addressed by either ECPI Equity Benchmarks Calculation Methodology or an administrator policy. Such scenarios might include but are not limited to: (1) Failure of data providers; (2) Significant changes to the underlying market; (3) Complex corporate events; (4) Action by governmental or regulatory bodies that causes market disruption; and (5) Events beyond human control.  Then, in the event that the administrator needs to take action or make a decision that has not been foreseen by the methodology or associated policy, senior members of the administration team will consult with the Index Governance Committee to arrive at a decision that is consistent with the objective of the index in question and that causes minimal disruption to index stakeholders. Where appropriate, and reasonably practicable, the administrator may consult with stakeholders. In any event the administrator will make all reasonable efforts to ensure stakeholders are aware that discretion or judgement has been used and how. Additionally, Statpro confirms that the remuneration of the staff responsible for the valuation of the index is not linked to the performance of the financial index.





Benchmark Statement as of 1-May-2024

### **INDEX CHARACTERISTICS**

to users of the ECPI indices that it is possible that hal events beyond the control of StatPro, may attion of, a benchmark or the entire index family.
er financial instruments that reference an ECPI s which use the ECPI indices to measure their withstand, or otherwise address the possibility of enchmark.
amily Rule Book and ECPI Equity Benchmarks
onsists of official closing prices sourced via market k exchanges. StatPro considers this to be readily ses use any contributed input data.
n judgement and/or discretion may be exercised e.
ic methodology of the ECPI Index, any limitations external factors. Such factors could include an et transaction and pricing data, poor quality data ble constituents available for inclusion in the index. eriods of stress were prolonged, then it is probable mittee would consider decommissioning the index essential if the index was unable to continue to or economic reality it was intended to evaluate.
whenever errors or distortions occur that are scholder of the ECPI indices are notified of any restate an index through appropriate media.
for calculation of all the underlying indices of family available to StatPro due to trade suspensions or illable data is used for calculating the value of an of financial instruments and the pay-offs calculated ermined. Limitations may also occur due to technical of a stock exchange to publish a close price due to event that periods of stress were prolonged, then it nance Committee would consider decommissioning id be essential if the index was unable to continue to or economic reality it was intended to evaluate. The n external data providers for the provision of ESG titing and calculation of the benchmarks. ESG data ers may be subject to change depending on the additional details on our vendors and methodologies Standards used). Further potential limitations of ESG vidual index methodologies are described in the y books. The measurement of the benchmark may be ESG data become unavailable or inaccurate. ESG verse of eligible constituents for a particular Index — by ESG will be excluded from the Indices.
kane i ecele a line ovrhenne verk





Benchmark Statement as of 1-May-2024

Section 1 - Consideration of	of ESG Facto	rs			
Item 1. Name of the benchmark admi	inistrator.	StatPro			
Item 2. Type of benchmark or family of	of benchmarks.	EQUITY			
Item 3. Name of the benchmark or fa benchmarks.	mily of	Index Name ECPI Robotics and Artificia ECPI Robotics and Artificia ECPI Robotics and Artificia ECPI Robotics and Artificia	I Intelligence TR I Intelligence NTR	Index Code GALPRAIP GALPRAIR GALPRAIN ren GALPRAID	Classification Non-significant benchmark Non-significant benchmark Non-significant benchmark Non-significant benchmark
Item 4. Are there in the portfolio of th administrator any EU Climate Transitic EU Paris-aligned Benchmarks, benchr pursue ESG objectives or benchmarks account ESG factors?	on Benchmarks, marks that		☐ YES	√ №	
Item 5. Does the benchmark or family pursue ESG objectives?	y of benchmarks		✓ YES	□NO	
aggregated level. The ESG factors shall be disclosed at  ESG Ratings Of The Benchmark  ESG RATING	an aggregated w  EE-  EE-	eighted average value at th	ESG Rating of top 10 Ho		
ENVIRONMENTAL RATING SOCIAL RATING	EE-		SOCIAL RATING	EE-	
GOVERNANCE RATING	E+		GOVERNANCE RATING	E	
Top 10 Positions					
COMPANY	WEIGHT	ESG RATING	COMPANY	WEIGH	IT ESG RATING
Nvidia Corp	3.98%	EE+	Arista Networks Inc	2.45%	E
Micron Technology Inc	2.81%	EE-	Meta Platforms, Inc. Class A	2.37%	E-
Applied Materials Inc	2.75%	EE+	Garmin Ltd	2.32%	EE-
Broadcom Inc	2.55%	EE-	ABB Ltd	2.30%	E
QUALCOMM Inc	2.50%	E	NXP Semiconductor NV	2.27%	EE-
List of environmental, Social and Go	overnance factor	s			
ENVIRONMENTAL			COVERAGE (%)	SCORE	
High Climate Impact Sector Exp. (%)			100.00	71.60	
GHG intensity (tonnes CO2e/USD mn)			99.42	47.38	
GHG reported vs estimated (%)			99.42	83.94/16.06	
Brown Sector Exposure (%)			100.00	3.86	
Green Sector Exposure (%)			100.00	3.75	

Climate-related physical risk score





99.42

32.91

Benchmark Statement as of 1-May-2024

#### List of environmental, Social and Governance factors

SOCIAL	COVERAGE (%)	SCORE
Controversial Weapons Exp. (%)	100.00	0.00
Tobacco Exposure (%)	100.00	0.00
Number Social Violations	100.00	0.00
Adherence to ILO Principles (%)	100.00	100.00
Gender Pay Gap (%)	35.33	34.29
Female to Male Board Members	99.42	0.52
Work Related Accidents (%)	100.00	0.00
Corruption/Bribery (%)	100.00	6.31
Corruption/Bribery (# of convictions)	100.00	4.00
Corruption/Bribery (fines and settlements in EUR millions)	100.00	0.05
GOVERNANCE	COVERAGE (%)	SCORE
Independent Board Members (%)	99.42	80.77

#### ESG factors calculation and display methodology

Female Board Members (%)

ESG FACTOR	CALCULATION FORMULA	DATA SOURCE
ESG RATING	Weighted average ESG rating of the benchmark	ECPI
ENV RATING	Weighted average environmental rating of the benchmark	ECPI
SOC RATING	Weighted average social rating of the benchmark	ECPI
GOV RATING	Weighted average governance rating of the benchmark	ECPI
TOP 10 POSITIONS	Overall ESG rating of top ten benchmark constituents by weighting in the benchmark	ECPI
High Climate Impact Sector Exposure (%)	Benchmark exposure to activities included in Sections A to H and Section L of Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 1893/2006	TRUCOST
GHG intensity (tonnes CO2e/USD mn)	Greenhouse gas (GHG) intensity of the benchmark	TRUCOST
GHG reported vs estimated (%)	Percentage of GHG emissions reported versus estimated	TRUCOST
Brown Sector Exposure (%)	Benchmark exposure to activities included in Divisions 05 to 09, 19 and 20 of Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 1893/2006	TRUCOST
Green Sector Exposure (%)	Benchmark exposure to activities included in the environmental goods and services sector, as defined in Article 2, point (5) of Regulation (EU) No 691/2011	TRUCOST
Climate-related physical risk score	Exposure of the benchmark portfolio to climate-related physical risks, expressed as a weighted average physical risk score with exposure to a moderate climate change scenario in the year 2030. Scores are represented as values from 1 (lowest risk) to 100 (highest risk)	TRUCOST
Controversial Weapons Exposure (%)	Weighted average percentage of benchmark constituents in the controversial weapons sector	ECPI
Controversial Weapons	Cluster Munitions, Landmines, Nuclear and Depleted Uranium Weapons, Biological/Chemical Weapons	ECPI
Tobacco Exposure (%)	Weighted average percentage of benchmark constituents in the tobacco sector	ECPI
Number of Social Violations	Number of benchmark constituents subject to very severe controversies related to Human Rights and Labour Rights	ECPI
Adherence to ILO Principles	Weighted average percentage of benchmark constituents with no significant labour related controversies	ECPI
Gender Pay Gap (%)	Weighted average gender pay gap	LSEG
Female to Male Board Members	Weighted average ratio of female to male board members	LSEG
Work Related Accidents (%)	Weighted average percentage of benchmark constituents with significant health & safety related controversies	ECPI
Corruption/Bribery (# of convictions)	Numbers of convictions for violations of anti-corruption and anti-bribery laws.	ECPI
Corruption/Bribery (fines and settlements in EUR millions)	Amount of fines for violations of anti-corruption and anti-bribery laws.	ECPI
Independent Board Members (%)	Weighted average percentage of board members who are independent	LSEG
Female Board Members (%)	Weighted average percentage of female board members	LSEG





Benchmark Statement as of 1-May-2024

Item 7. Where the response to Item 5 is positive, provide below the details (score) for each benchmark, in relation to the ESG factors listed in Annex II, depending on the relevant underlying asset concerned.

#### please refer to Item 6

Item 8. Data and standards used.		
Description of data sources used to provide information on the ESG factors in the benchmark statement.	data, LSEG and publicly available data sources.	
Reference standards	UN Global Compact OECD Guidelines UN Guiding Principles UN Sustainable Development Goals Human rights (IN human rights treaties) Labour rights (ILO Conventions) Environmental standards (e.g. Rio Declaration of Diversity; Framework Convention on Climate Change Anti-corruption standards (UN Convention agains)	5 . 5 ,





Benchmark Statement as of 1-May-2024

### Section 2 - Additional Disclosure Requirements For EU Climate Transition And EU Paris-Aligned Benchmarks

Item 9. Where a bence following information:	chmark is labelled as "EU Climate Transition Benchmark" or "EU	J Paris-aligned Benchmark", benchmark administrators shall also disclose the
forward-looking year-on-year decarbonisation trajectory;		N/A
degree to which the IPCC decarbonisation trajectory (1,5 °C with no or limited overshoot) has been achieved on aver age per year since creation;		N/A
overlap between those benchmarks and their investable universe, as defined in Article 1, point (e), of Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2020/1818, using the active shareat asset level.		N/A
Item 10. By the date o benchmark administra	ators shall also disclose the following information.	es Of The Paris Agreement  and benchmarks, EU Climate Transition Benchmarks and EU Paris-aligned Benchmarks  re applicable, each family of bench marks, disclose the following information:
	align with the target of reducing carbon emissions or the ectives of the Paris Agreement;	NO
	ario, in accordance with international standards, used for e target of reducing GHG emissions or attaining of the s Agreement;	N/A
	der of the temperature scenario used for the alignment with GHG emissions or the attainment of the objectives of the	N/A
the methodology used ment with the tempera	d for the measurement of the align ature scenario;	N/A
the hyperlink to the website of the temperature scenario used.		N/A
the hyperlink to the website of the temperature scenario used.		N/A
Date on which inform	nation has last been updated and reason for the update:	
September 2019 Initial version		
February 2020	Removal of Freedom Indices	
May 2023	Updated Format	
April 2024 Enriched datapoint disclosure		

28 June 2024

Monthly ESG Disclosure





Benchmark Statement as of 1-May-2024

### Annex 1 - Definition of Key Terms used in the benchmark statement

Administrator	A natural or legal person that has control over the provision of a benchmark, for the ECPI Index this is StatPro.		
Benchmark	Any index by reference to which the amount payable under a financial instrument or a financial contract, or the value of a financial instrument, is determined, or an index that is used to measure the performance of an investment fund with the purpose of tracking the return of such index or of defining the asset allocation of a portfolio or of computing the performance fees.		
BMR	Regulation (EU) 2016/1011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2016 on indices used as benchmarks in financial instruments and financial contracts or to measure the performance of investment funds and amending Directives 2008/48/EC and 2014/17/EU and Regulation (EU) No 596/2014. The Benchmark Regulations - the Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2018/1643, and the supplementary regulatory technical standards.		
Discretion/exercise judgement	The exercise of discretion by an administrator with respect to the use of data in determining a benchmark, including adjusting values for factors that might influence the quality of data such as market events or impairment of a buyer or seller's credit quality, and manually altering constituent weightings.		
Family of Benchmarks	A group of benchmarks provided by the same administrator and determined from input data of the same nature which provides specific measures of the same or similar market or economic reality.		
Financial Contract	(a) any credit agreement as defined in point (c) of Article 3 of Directive 2008/48/EC; (b) any credit agreement as defined in point (3) of Article 4 of Directive 2014/17/EU		
Financial Instrument	Means any of the instruments listed in Section C of Annex I to Directive 2014/65/EU for which a request for admission to trading on a trading venue, as defined in point (24) of Article 4(1) of Directive 2014/65/EU, has been made or which is traded on a trading venue as defined in point (24) of Article 4(1) of Directive 2014/65/EU or via a systematic internaliser as defined in point (20) of Article 4(1) of that Directive.		
Input Data	The data in respect of the value of one or more underlying assets, or prices, including estimated prices, quotes, committed quotes or other values, used by StatPro as an administrator to determine a benchmark.		
Investment Fund	It is an AIF as defined in point (a) of Article 4(1) of Directive 2011/61/EU, or a UCITS as defined in Article 1(2) of Directive 2009/65/EC.		
ISIN	The International Securities Identification Number (ISIN) is a code that uniquely identifies a specific securities issue.		
Non-Significant Benchmark	It is a benchmark which is not considered a 'critical benchmark' or 'significant benchmark' according to point 27 of Article 3(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/1011.		
Stakeholder	A natural or legal person that uses a benchmark for: (a) issuance of a financial instrument which references an index or a combination of indices; (b) determination of the amount payable under a financial instrument or a financial contract by referencing an index or a combination of indices; (c) being a party to a financial contract which references an index or a combination of indices; (d) providing a borrowing rate as defined in point (j) of Article 3 of Directive 2008/48/EC calculated as a spread or mark-up over an index or a combination of indices and that is solely used as a reference in a financial contract to which the creditor is a party; (e) measuring the performance of an investment fund through an index or a combination of indices for the purpose of tracking the return of such index or combination of indices, of defining the asset allocation of a portfolio, or of computing the performance fees.		
StatPro	The benchmark administrator of the ECPI Index family of indices.		
The Index Governance Committee	The group of individuals appointed at the administrator to provide supervision of the ECPI indices.		
Transaction Data	Means observable prices, rates, indices or values representing transactions between unaffiliated counter parties in an active market subject to competitive supply and demand forces.		

Decisions

The Decision of the been prepared ECR FLI. a company organized and activity and the law of file will registed office at Decision of the Confidence of Technologies in the Decision of the Confidence of Technologies in the Confidence of Technologies and the Confidence of Technologies in the Confidence o