



Benchmark Statement as of 1-May-2024

The purpose of this disclosure is to outline the information required in Article 27 of the EU regulation on indices used as financial benchmarks ("BMR") and the Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2018/1643, 2020/1816, 2020/1817, 2020/1818 and the Benchmarks (Amendment and Transitional Provision) (EU Exit) Regulations n. 657/ 2019.

This document includes clear definitions of the market or economic reality measured by the benchmark and the circumstances in which such measurement may become unreliable, outlines rules around exercise of judgement or discretion and provides some additional information about the benchmark.

This benchmark statement is provided by StatPro as the administrator of the ECPI Index family of indices. This disclosure references and should be read in conjunction with the following methodology and policy documents available at www.confluence.com/index-governance

GENERAL DISCLOSURE

| 1.1 | Date of publication and update | This Benchmark Statement was first published on September 2019; last revision was made on April 2024. |
|-------|---|--|
| 1.2 | Review of Benchmark Statement | StatPro is the administrator. StatPro will revise this benchmark statement if material changes are made to the information contained within it, but a review will take place at a minimum of once every two years. |
| 1.3 | Use of ISIN | The Index Family is identifiable via Index tickers as no ISINs are assigned |
| 1.4 | Contributions of input data | The Index Family does not use contributions of input data. |
| 1.5 | Regulated-data benchmark disclosure | The ECPI Indices are not regulated data benchmarks. |
| 1.6 | Specific disclosure requirements for significant and non-significant benchmarks | The ECPI Indices includes only non-significant benchmarks according to point 27 of Article 3(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/1011. |
| INDEX | CHARACTERISTICS | |
| | | The ECPI Global Agriculture Equity Index is an equally weighted equity index designed to offer investors exposure to the agriculture sector, through commodity |

Use of Discretion

2.2

Market Reality

2.1

ECPI indices are calculated and rebalanced in accordance with objective rules-based methodologies and do not allow for subjective or discretionary selection of index components. If there were to be exceptional circumstances that are not addressed by either ECPI Equity Benchmarks Calculation Methodology or an administrator policy. Such scenarios might include but are not limited to: (1) Failure of data providers; (2) Significant changes to the underlying market; (3) Complex corporate events; (4) Action by governmental or regulatory bodies that causes market disruption; and (5) Events beyond human control.

production and related industries. The Index is designed to select companies best placed to seize the opportunities arising from the gap between demand for food,

feed and fuel and the supply of the necessary inputs.

Then, in the event that the administrator needs to take action or make a decision that has not been foreseen by the methodology or associated policy, senior members of the administration team will consult with the Index Governance Committee to arrive at a decision that is consistent with the objective of the index in question and that causes minimal disruption to index stakeholders. Where appropriate, and reasonably practicable, the administrator may consult with stakeholders. In any event the administrator will make all reasonable efforts to ensure stakeholders are aware that discretion or judgement has been used and how. Additionally, Statpro confirms that the remuneration of the staff responsible for the valuation of the index is not linked to the performance of the financial index.







Benchmark Statement as of 1-May-2024

| CHARACTERISTICS | |
|--|--|
| External Factors | StatPro hereby provides notice to users of the ECPI indices that it is possible that circumstances, including external events beyond the control of StatPro, may necessitate changes to, or cessation of, a benchmark or the entire index family. |
| Changes to and cessation of benchmark | Any financial contracts or other financial instruments that reference an ECPI benchmark or investment funds which use the ECPI indices to measure their performance should be able to withstand, or otherwise address the possibility of changes to, or cessation of, a benchmark. |
| Definitions of key terms | Please refer to ANNEX 1 |
| Benchmark Methodology | Please refer to Benchmark Family Rule Book and ECPI Equity Benchmarks Calculation Methodology: RULE BOOK and BENCHMARK CALCULATION METHODOLOGY |
| Input data | Input data for the ECPI indices consists of official closing prices sourced via market data vendors from eligible stock exchanges. StatPro considers this to be readily available data. None of the indices use any contributed input data. |
| Controls over exercise of judgement and discretion | The only circumstances in which judgement and/or discretion may be exercised are set out in response 2.2 above. |
| Determination of the benchmark in stress periods | Given the objective and formulaic methodology of the ECPI Index, any limitations are most likely to arise due to external factors. Such factors could include an inadequate availability of market transaction and pricing data, poor quality data or an insufficient number of eligible constituents available for inclusion in the index. In the unlikely event that such periods of stress were prolonged, then it is probable that the Index Governance Committee would consider decommissioning the index or index series. This would be essential if the index was unable to continue to adequately measure the market or economic reality it was intended to evaluate. |
| Errors in the input data | ECPI Indices are recalculated whenever errors or distortions occur that are deemed to be significant. Stakeholder of the ECPI indices are notified of any decisions to recalculate and/or restate an index through appropriate media. |
| Potential Limitations of the benchmark | (a) & (b) StatPro uses official closing levels for calculation of all the underlying indices of family members, however if data is not available to StatPro due to trade suspensions or market distortions the latest available data is used for calculating the value of an index. This ensures that the value of financial instruments and the pay-offs calculated for financial contracts can be determined. Limitations may also occur due to technical reasons, for example the inability of a stock exchange to publish a close price due to a computer outage. (c) In the unlikely event that such periods of stress were prolonged, then it is probable that the Index Governance Committee would consider decommissioning the index or index series. This would be essential if the index was unable to continue to adequately measure the market or economic reality it was intended to evaluate. |
| | External Factors Changes to and cessation of benchmark Definitions of key terms Benchmark Methodology Input data Controls over exercise of judgement and discretion Determination of the benchmark in stress periods Errors in the input data |





Benchmark Statement as of 1-May-2024

| ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES - | - ESG FACT | ORS | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--|----------------------|-----------------|--|
| Section 1 - Consideration of | ESG Factor | rs . | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Item 1. Name of the benchmark adminis | strator. | StatPro | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Item 2. Type of benchmark or family of | benchmarks. | EQUITY | | | | | |
| Item 3. Name of the benchmark or fami benchmarks. | ly of | Index Name ECPI Global Agriculture Liquid ECPI Global Agriculture Liquid TR ECPI Global Agriculture Liquid NTR | | Index Code GALPLAGP GALPLAGR GALPLAGN | GALPLAGP GALPLAGR | | Classification nt benchmark nt benchmark nt benchmark |
| Item 4. Are there in the portfolio of the ladministrator any EU Climate Transition EU Paris-aligned Benchmarks, benchmar pursue ESG objectives or benchmarks thaccount ESG factors? | Benchmarks, rks that | | ☐ YES | V |] NO | | |
| Item 5. Does the benchmark or family of pursue ESG objectives? | f benchmarks | | ✓ YES | |] NO | | |
| Item 6. Where the response to Item 5 is aggregated level. The ESG factors shall be disclosed at an ESG Ratings Of The Benchmark | aggregated w | | e level of the family of ber | nchmarks. | | amily of benchm | arks at |
| ESG RATING | EE- | | ESG RATING | | EE- | | |
| ENVIRONMENTAL RATING | E+ | | ENVIRONMENTAL RATING | | E+ | | |
| SOCIAL RATING GOVERNANCE RATING | EE- | | SOCIAL RATING GOVERNANCE RATING | | EE- | | |
| Top 10 Positions | | | | | | | |
| COMPANY | WEIGHT | ESG RATING | COMPANY | | WEIGHT | | ESG RATING |
| Corteva Inc. | 2.96% | E- | BASF SE | | 2.72% | | EE- |
| McCormick & Co | 2.89% | EE- | Bunge Global SA | | 2.70% | | EE+ |
| Associated British Foods | 2.88% | EE- | Kubota Corp | | 2.68% | | EE |
| Toyo Suisan Kaisha | 2.85% | E | Conagra Brands, Inc | | 2.68% | | E+ |
| General Mills Inc | 2.80% | EE | Campbell Soup Co | | 2.65% | | E+ |
| List of environmental, Social and Gove | ernance factors | S | | | | | |
| ENVIDONMENTAL | | | COVEDA CE (%) | COORE | | | |
| ENVIRONMENTAL High Climate Impact Sector Eyn (%) | | | COVERAGE (%) | SCORE | | | |
| High Climate Impact Sector Exp. (%) | | | 100.00 | 97.30 | | | |
| GHG intensity (tonnes CO2e/USD mn) GHG reported vs estimated (%) | | | 97.30 97.30 | 185.79 96.84/3.16 | | | |
| Brown Sector Exposure (%) | | | 100.00 | 36.84 | | | |
| Green Sector Exposure (%) | | | 100.00 | 4.73 | | | |

Climate-related physical risk score

97.30

47.38





Benchmark Statement as of 1-May-2024

List of environmental, Social and Governance factors

| SOCIAL | COVERAGE (%) | SCORE |
|--|--------------|-------|
| Controversial Weapons Exp. (%) | 100.00 | 0.00 |
| Tobacco Exposure (%) | 100.00 | 0.00 |
| Number Social Violations | 100.00 | 0.00 |
| Adherence to ILO Principles (%) | 100.00 | 92.66 |
| Gender Pay Gap (%) | 20.68 | 18.64 |
| Female to Male Board Members | 100.00 | 0.50 |
| Work Related Accidents (%) | 100.00 | 0.00 |
| Corruption/Bribery (%) | 100.00 | 0.00 |
| Corruption/Bribery (# of convictions) | 100.00 | 0.00 |
| Corruption/Bribery (fines and settlements in EUR millions) | 100.00 | 0.00 |
| GOVERNANCE | COVERAGE (%) | SCORE |
| Independent Board Members (%) | 100.00 | 72 73 |

ESG factors calculation and display methodology

Female Board Members (%)

| ESG FACTOR | CALCULATION FORMULA | DATA SOURCE |
|--|--|-------------|
| ESG RATING | Weighted average ESG rating of the benchmark | ECPI |
| ENV RATING | Weighted average environmental rating of the benchmark | ECPI |
| SOC RATING | Weighted average social rating of the benchmark | ECPI |
| GOV RATING | Weighted average governance rating of the benchmark | ECPI |
| TOP 10 POSITIONS | Overall ESG rating of top ten benchmark constituents by weighting in the benchmark | ECPI |
| High Climate Impact Sector Exposure (%) | Benchmark exposure to activities included in Sections A to H and Section L of Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 1893/2006 | TRUCOST |
| GHG intensity (tonnes CO2e/USD mn) | Greenhouse gas (GHG) intensity of the benchmark | TRUCOST |
| GHG reported vs estimated (%) | Percentage of GHG emissions reported versus estimated | TRUCOST |
| Brown Sector Exposure (%) | Benchmark exposure to activities included in Divisions 05 to 09, 19 and 20 of Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 1893/2006 | TRUCOST |
| Green Sector Exposure (%) | Benchmark exposure to activities included in the environmental goods and services sector, as defined in Article 2, point (5) of Regulation (EU) No 691/2011 | TRUCOST |
| Climate-related physical risk score | Exposure of the benchmark portfolio to climate-related physical risks, expressed as a weighted average physical risk score with exposure to a moderate climate change scenario in the year 2030. Scores are represented as values from 1 (lowest risk) to 100 (highest risk) | TRUCOST |
| Controversial Weapons Exposure (%) | Weighted average percentage of benchmark constituents in the controversial weapons sector | ECPI |
| Controversial Weapons | Cluster Munitions, Landmines, Nuclear and Depleted Uranium Weapons, Biological/Chemical Weapons | ECPI |
| Tobacco Exposure (%) | Weighted average percentage of benchmark constituents in the tobacco sector | ECPI |
| Number of Social Violations | Number of benchmark constituents subject to very severe controversies related to Human Rights and Labour Rights | ECPI |
| Adherence to ILO Principles | Weighted average percentage of benchmark constituents with no significant labour related controversies | ECPI |
| Gender Pay Gap (%) | Weighted average gender pay gap | LSEG |
| Female to Male Board Members | Weighted average ratio of female to male board members | LSEG |
| Work Related Accidents (%) | Weighted average percentage of benchmark constituents with significant health & safety related controversies | ECPI |
| Corruption/Bribery (# of convictions) | Numbers of convictions for violations of anti-corruption and anti-bribery laws. | ECPI |
| Corruption/Bribery (fines and settlements in EUR millions) | Amount of fines for violations of anti-corruption and anti-bribery laws. | ECPI |
| Independent Board Members (%) | Weighted average percentage of board members who are independent | LSEG |
| Female Board Members (%) | Weighted average percentage of female board members | LSEG |

31.38

100.00





Benchmark Statement as of 1-May-2024

Item 7. Where the response to Item 5 is positive, provide below the details (score) for each benchmark, in relation to the ESG factors listed in Annex II, depending on the relevant underlying asset concerned.

please refer to item 6

| ESG metrics are calculated based on ECPI research methodology, and third-party data, including Trucos | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | | | |
| ESG metrics rely on reported data when available and feasible for ESG dimension measured, otherwise the | | | | |
| Additional details on the ESG disclosure are available in the Benchmark Family Rule Book: | | | | |
| | Companies | | | |
| Trucost Environmental Data Methodology: | Methodology Guide | | | |
| LSEG ESG Scores Methodology: | ESG Scores Methodology | | | |
| UN Global Compact | | | | |
| OECD Guidelines | | | | |
| UN Guiding Principles | | | | |
| UN Sustainable Development Goals | | | | |
| Human rights (UN human rights treaties) | | | | |
| Labour rights (ILO Conventions) | | | | |
| • Environmental standards (e.g. Rio Declaration on Environment and Development; Convention on Biological | | | | |
| Diversity; Framework Convention on Climate Change; Paris Agreement) | | | | |
| | data, LSEG and publicly available data sources. ESG metrics rely on reported data when available are besed on estimated data or qualitative assess. Additional details on the ESG disclosure are available. Confluence ECPI ESG Rating Methodology: Trucost Environmental Data Methodology: LSEG ESG Scores Methodology: UN Global Compact OECD Guidelines UN Guiding Principles UN Sustainable Development Goals Human rights (UN human rights treaties) Labour rights (ILO Conventions) Environmental standards (e.g. Rio Declaration of | | | |





Benchmark Statement as of 1-May-2024

Enriched datapoint disclosure

Monthly ESG Disclosure

Section 2 - Additional Disclosure Requirements For EU Climate Transition And EU Paris-Aligned Benchmarks

| Item 9. Where a benc following information: | chmark is labelled as "EU Climate Transition Benchmark" or "EU | J Paris-aligned Benchmark", benchmark administrators shall also disclose the |
|--|---|---|
| forward-looking year- | on-year decarbonisation trajectory; | N/A |
| | PCC decarbonisation trajectory (1,5 °C with no or limited achieved on aver age per year since creation; | N/A |
| | e benchmarks and their investable universe, as defined in ommission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2020/1818, using the evel. | N/A |
| Item 10. By the date of benchmark administra | itors shall also disclose the following information. | es Of The Paris Agreement and benchmarks, EU Climate Transition Benchmarks and EU Paris-aligned Benchmarks are applicable, each family of bench marks, disclose the following information: |
| Does the benchmark a | align with the target of reducing carbon emissions or the | NO |
| the temperature scena | ectives of the Paris Agreement; ario, in accordance with international standards, used for a target of reducing GHG emissions or attaining of the Agreement; | N/A |
| | der of the temperature scenario used for the alignment with GHG emissions or the attainment of the objectives of the | N/A |
| the methodology used ment with the tempera | d for the measurement of the align ature scenario; | N/A |
| the hyperlink to the we used. | ebsite of the temperature scenario | N/A |
| the hyperlink to the we used. | ebsite of the temperature scenario | N/A |
| | | |
| Date on which inform | nation has last been updated and reason for the update: | |
| September 2019 | Initial version | |
| February 2020 | Removal of Freedom Indices | |
| May 2022 Lindated Format | | |

April 2024 30 April 2024





Benchmark Statement as of 1-May-2024

Annex 1 - Definition of Key Terms used in the benchmark statement

| Administrator | A natural or legal person that has control over the provision of a benchmark, for the ECPI Index this is StatPro. |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Benchmark | Any index by reference to which the amount payable under a financial instrument or a financial contract, or the value of a financial instrument, is determined, or an index that is used to measure the performance of an investment fund with the purpose of tracking the return of such index or of defining the asset allocation of a portfolio or of computing the performance fees. |
| BMR | Regulation (EU) 2016/1011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2016 on indices used as benchmarks in financial instruments and financial contracts or to measure the performance of investment funds and amending Directives 2008/48/EC and 2014/17/EU and Regulation (EU) No 596/2014. The Benchmark Regulations - the Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2018/1643, and the supplementary regulatory technical standards. |
| Discretion/exercise judgement | The exercise of discretion by an administrator with respect to the use of data in determining a benchmark, including adjusting values for factors that might influence the quality of data such as market events or impairment of a buyer or seller's credit quality, and manually altering constituent weightings. |
| Family of Benchmarks | A group of benchmarks provided by the same administrator and determined from input data of the same nature which provides specific measures of the same or similar market or economic reality. |
| Financial Contract | (a) any credit agreement as defined in point (c) of Article 3 of Directive 2008/48/EC;(b) any credit agreement as defined in point (3) of Article 4 of Directive 2014/17/EU |
| Financial Instrument | Means any of the instruments listed in Section C of Annex I to Directive 2014/65/EU for which a request for admission to trading on a trading venue, as defined in point (24) of Article 4(1) of Directive 2014/65/EU, has been made or which is traded on a trading venue as defined in point (24) of Article 4(1) of Directive 2014/65/EU or via a systematic internaliser as defined in point (20) of Article 4(1) of that Directive. |
| Input Data | The data in respect of the value of one or more underlying assets, or prices, including estimated prices, quotes, committed quotes or other values, used by StatPro as an administrator to determine a benchmark. |
| Investment Fund | It is an AIF as defined in point (a) of Article 4(1) of Directive 2011/61/EU, or a UCITS as defined in Article 1(2) of Directive 2009/65/EC. |
| ISIN | The International Securities Identification Number (ISIN) is a code that uniquely identifies a specific securities issue. |
| Non-Significant Benchmark | It is a benchmark which is not considered a 'critical benchmark' or 'significant benchmark' according to point 27 of Article 3(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/1011. |
| Stakeholder | A natural or legal person that uses a benchmark for: (a) issuance of a financial instrument which references an index or a combination of indices; (b) determination of the amount payable under a financial instrument or a financial contract by referencing an index or a combination of indices; (c) being a party to a financial contract which references an index or a combination of indices; (d) providing a borrowing rate as defined in point (j) of Article 3 of Directive 2008/48/EC calculated as a spread or mark-up over an index or a combination of indices and that is solely used as a reference in a financial contract to which the creditor is a party; (e) measuring the performance of an investment fund through an index or a combination of indices for the purpose of tracking the return of such index or combination of indices, of defining the asset allocation of a portfolio, or of computing the performance fees. |
| StatPro | The benchmark administrator of the ECPI Index family of indices. |
| The Index Governance Committee | The group of individuals appointed at the administrator to provide supervision of the ECPI indices. |
| Transaction Data | Means observable prices, rates, indices or values representing transactions between unaffiliated counter parties in an active market subject to competitive supply and demand forces. |