



Benchmark Statement as of 1-May-2024

The purpose of this disclosure is to outline the information required in Article 27 of the EU regulation on indices used as financial benchmarks ("BMR") and the Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2018/1643, 2020/1816, 2020/1817, 2020/1818 and the Benchmarks (Amendment and Transitional Provision) (EU Exit) Regulations n. 657/2019.

This document includes clear definitions of the market or economic reality measured by the benchmark and the circumstances in which such measurement may become unreliable, outlines rules around exercise of judgement or discretion and provides some additional information about the benchmark.

This benchmark statement is provided by StatPro as the administrator of the ECPI Index family of indices. This disclosure references and should be read in conjunction with the following methodology and policy documents available at www.confluence.com/index-governance

GENERAL DISCLOSURE

1.1	Date of publication and update	This Benchmark Statement was first published on September 2019; last revision was made on April 2024.
1.2	Review of Benchmark Statement	StatPro is the administrator. StatPro will revise this benchmark statement if material changes are made to the information contained within it, but a review will take place at a minimum of once every two years.
1.3	Use of ISIN	The Index Family is identifiable via Index tickers as no ISINs are assigned
1.4	Contributions of input data	The Index Family does not use contributions of input data.
1.5	Regulated-data benchmark disclosure	The ECPI Indices are not regulated data benchmarks.
1.6	Specific disclosure requirements for significant and non-significant benchmarks	The ECPI Indices includes only non-significant benchmarks according to point 27 of Article 3(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/1011.
INDEX	CHARACTERISTICS	

2.1 Market Reality

The ECPI Emerging Markets ESG Government Bond Index is composed of emerging markets investment grade and sub-investment grade government and sub-sovereign bond issues denominated in EUR and USD which have a positive rating according to ECPI Government and Supranational ESG Rating Methodology.

2.2 Use of Discretion

ECPI indices are calculated and rebalanced in accordance with objective rules-based methodologies and do not allow for subjective or discretionary selection of index components. If there were to be exceptional circumstances that are not addressed by either ECPI Equity Benchmarks Calculation Methodology or an administrator policy. Such scenarios might include but are not limited to: (1) Failure of data providers; (2) Significant changes to the underlying market; (3) Complex corporate events; (4) Action by governmental or regulatory bodies that causes market disruption; and (5) Events beyond human control.

Then, in the event that the administrator needs to take action or make a decision that has not been foreseen by the methodology or associated policy, senior members of the administration team will consult with the Index Governance Committee to arrive at a decision that is consistent with the objective of the index in question and that causes minimal disruption to index stakeholders. Where appropriate, and reasonably practicable, the administrator may consult with stakeholders. In any event the administrator will make all reasonable efforts to ensure stakeholders are aware that discretion or judgement has been used and how. Additionally, Statpro confirms that the remuneration of the staff responsible for the valuation of the index is not linked to the performance of the financial index.





Benchmark Statement as of 1-May-2024

INDEX	CHARA	ACTERIS	TICS
-------	-------	----------------	------

2.3	External Factors	StatPro hereby provides notice to users of the ECPI indices that it is possible that circumstances, including external events beyond the control of StatPro, may necessitate changes to, or cessation of, a benchmark or the entire index family.
2.4	Changes to and cessation of benchmark	Any financial contracts or other financial instruments that reference an ECPI benchmark or investment funds which use the ECPI indices to measure their performance should be able to withstand, or otherwise address the possibility of changes to, or cessation of, a benchmark.
2.5	Definitions of key terms	Please refer to ANNEX 1
2.6	Benchmark Methodology	Please refer to Benchmark Family Rule Book and ECPI Equity Benchmarks Calculation Methodology: RULE BOOK and BENCHMARK CALCULATION METHODOLOGY
2.7	Input data	Input data for the ECPI indices consists of official closing prices sourced via market data vendors from eligible stock exchanges. StatPro considers this to be readily available data. None of the indices use any contributed input data.
2.8	Controls over exercise of judgement and discretion	The only circumstances in which judgement and/or discretion may be exercised are set out in response 2.2 above.
2.9	Determination of the benchmark in stress periods	Given the objective and formulaic methodology of the ECPI Index, any limitations are most likely to arise due to external factors. Such factors could include an inadequate availability of market transaction and pricing data, poor quality data or an insufficient number of eligible constituents available for inclusion in the index. In the unlikely event that such periods of stress were prolonged, then it is probable that the Index Governance Committee would consider decommissioning the index or index series. This would be essential if the index was unable to continue to adequately measure the market or economic reality it was intended to evaluate.
2.10	Errors in the input data	ECPI Indices are recalculated whenever errors or distortions occur that are deemed to be significant. Stakeholder of the ECPI indices are notified of any decisions to recalculate and/or restate an index through appropriate media.
2.11	Potential Limitations of the benchmark	Given the objective and formulaic methodology of the ECPI Index, any limitations are most likely to arise due to external factors. (a) Such factors could include an inadequate availability of market data, poor quality data or an insufficient number of eligible constituents available for inclusion in the index. (b) Not relevant, considering the large scale of the investable markets the indices measure, any such circumstances would be extreme and unexpected and most likely lead to the action detailed in point (c) below. (c) In the unlikely event that such periods of stress were prolonged, then it is probable that the Index Governance Committee would consider decommissioning the index or index series. This would be essential if the index was unable to continue to adequately measure the market or economic reality it was intended to evaluate.





Benchmark Statement as of 1-May-2024

ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES - ESG FAC	TORS			
Section 1 - Consideration of ESG Fact	ors			
Item 1. Name of the benchmark administrator.	Statpro			
Item 2. Type of benchmark or family of benchmarks	FIXED INCOME			
Item 3. Name of the benchmark or family of benchmarks.	0 0	s ESG Government Bond s ESG Government Bond Hedged	Index Code ECAPEMGB ECAPEMGH	Classification Non-significant benchmark Non-significant benchmark
Item 4. Are there in the portfolio of the benchmark administrator any EU Climate Transition Benchmarks EU Paris-aligned Benchmarks, benchmarks that pursue ESG objectives or benchmarks that take into account ESG factors?	ı	YES	☑ NO	
Item 5. Does the benchmark or family of benchmark pursue ESG objectives?	S	✓ YES	□ NO	
Item 6. Where the response to Item 5 is positive, proaggregated level. The ESG factors shall be disclosed at an aggregated				mily of benchmarks at
ESG Ratings Of The Benchmark		ESG Rating of top 10 Hold	inas	
ESG RATING E+		ESG RATING	E-	
ENVIRONMENTAL RATING EE		ENVIRONMENTAL RATING	EE-	
SOCIAL RATING E		SOCIAL RATING	E-	
GOVERNANCE RATING E-		GOVERNANCE RATING		
Top 10 Positions				
COMPANY WEIG	HT ESG RAT	ING COMPANY	WEIGHT	ESG RATING
ASIAN DEVELOPMENT BANK 1% 2026-04-14 0.5	9% E-	MEXICO (UNITED MEXICAN STA	TES) (GOVERNME 0.50%	E E
ASIAN DEVELOPMENT BANK 0.625% 2025-04-29 0.5	5% E-	REPUBLIKA SLOVENIJA 2.25% 20		EE+
ASIAN DEVELOPMENT BANK 3.125% 2027-08-20 0.5	5% E-	ASIAN DEVELOPMENT BANK 4.2	5% 2026-01-09 0.45%	E-
ASIAN DEVELOPMENT BANK 0.5% 2026-02-04 0.5	3% E-	ASIAN DEVELOPMENT BANK 4.3	75% 2029-03-06 0.44%	E-
ASIAN DEVELOPMENT BANK 4.5% 2028-08-25 O.S	51% E-	ASIAN DEVELOPMENT BANK 3.7	5% 2028-04-25 0.44%	E-
List of environmental, Social and Governance fac	cors			
ENVIRONMENTAL CLIC intensity (tennes CO3s (USD mn)		COVERAGE (%)	SCORE	
GHG intensity (tonnes CO2e/USD mn) GHG reported vs estimated (%)		97.88	351.19 O / 100	
Green Bonds (%)		100.00	2.11	
2.222		.55.55		





Benchmark Statement as of 1-May-2024

List of environmental, Social and Governance factors

SOCIAL	COVERAGE (%)	SCORE
Number Of Social Violations	99.97	0.49
Human Rights Performace	90.91	4.34
Income Inequality Score	90.91	3.98
Freedom Of Expression Score	90.91	66.20
GOVERNANCE	COVERAGE (%)	SCORE
Corruption Score	90.91	39.46
Political Stability Score	90.91	4.81
Rule of Law Score	90.91	4.24

ESG factors calculation and display methodology

ESG FACTOR	CALCULATION FORMULA	DATA SOURCE
ESG RATING	Weighted average ESG rating of the benchmark	ECPI
ENV RATING	Weighted average environmental rating of the benchmark	ECPI
SOC RATING	Weighted average social rating of the benchmark	ECPI
GOV RATING	Weighted average governance rating of the benchmark	ECPI
TOP 10 POSITIONS	Overall ESG rating of top ten benchmark constituents by weighting in the benchmark	ECPI
GHG intensity (tonnes CO2e/USD mn)	Greenhouse gas (GHG) intensity of the benchmark	ECPI
GHG reported vs estimated (%)	Percentage of GHG emissions reported versus estimated	ECPI
Green Bonds (%)	Percentage of green bonds in the benchmark portfolio	ECPI
Number Of Social Violations	Number of benchmark constituents subject to social violations, as referred to in international treaties and conventions, United Nations principles and, where applicable, national law	ECPI
Human Rights Performance	Average human rights performance of the issuers (Weighted average Fragile State Index - P3: Human Rights and Rule of Law). Scores are represented as values from 1 (highest human rights performance) to 10 (lowest human rights performance)	ECPI
Income Inequality Score	Average income inequality score, measuring the distribution of income and economic inequality among the participants in a particular economy (Weighted average Fragile State Index - E2: Uneven Economic Development). Scores are represented as values from 1 (most equal) to 10 (least equal)	ECPI
Freedom Of Expression Score	Average freedom of expression score measuring the extent to which political and civil society organizations can operate freely (Weighted average Freedom House Index). Scores are represented as values from 1 (lowest level of freedom) to 100 (highest level of freedom)	ECPI
Corruption Score	Average corruption score measuring the perceived level of public sector corruption (Weighted average Corruption Perception Index) Scores are represented as values from 1 (most corrupt) to 100 (least corrupt)	ECPI
Political Stability Score	Average political stability score, measuring the likelihood that the current regime will be overthrown by the use of force (Weighted average Political Stability and absence of Violence Index). Scores are represented as values from 0 (lowest political stability performance) to 10 (highest political stability performance)	ECPI
Rule Of Law Score	Average rule of law score, based on the absence of corruption, respect for fundamental rights, and the state of civil and criminal justice (Weighted average Rule of Law Index). Scores are represented as values from 0 (lowest rule of law performance) to 10 (highest rule of law performance)	ECPI





Benchmark Statement as of 1-May-2024

Item 7. Where the response to Item 5 is positive, provide below the details (score) for each benchmark, in relation to the ESG factors listed in Annex II, depending on the relevant underlying asset concerned.

please refer to item 6

Item 8. Data and standards used.	
Description of data sources used to provide information on the ESG factors in the benchmark statement.	ESG metrics are calculated based on ECPI research methodology, and publicly available data sources. ESG metrics rely on reported data when available and feasible for ESG dimension measured, otherwise thet are besed on estimated data or qualitative assessement. Additional details on the ESG disclosure are available in the Benchmark Family Rule Book: • Confluence ECPI ESG Rating Methodology: Countries & Supranationals
Reference standards	 UN Global Compact OECD Guidelines UN Guiding Principles UN Sustainable Development Goals Human rights (UN human rights treaties) Labour rights (ILO Conventions) Environmental standards (e.g. Rio Declaration on Environment and Development; Convention on Biological Diversity; Framework Convention on Climate Change; Paris Agreement) Anti-corruption standards (UN Convention against Corruption)





Benchmark Statement as of 1-May-2024

Section 2 - Additional Disclosure Requirements For EU Climate Transition And EU Paris-Aligned Benchmarks

Item 9. Where a benchminformation:	nark is labelled as "EU Climate Transition Benchmark" or "EU	Paris-aligned Benchmark", benchmark administrators shall also disclose the following
forward-looking year-on-year decarbonisation trajectory;		N/A
degree to which the IPCC decarbonisation trajectory (1,5 °C with no or limited overshoot) has been achieved on aver age per year since creation;		N/A
overlap between those benchmarks and their investable universe, as defined in Article 1, point (e), of Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2020/1818, using the active shareat asset level.		N/A
Section 3 - Disclo	sure Of The Alignment With The Objectives	of The Paris Agreement
benchmark administrato	rs shall also disclose the following information.	d benchmarks, EU Climate Transition Benchmarks and EU Paris-aligned Benchmarks, applicable, each family of bench marks, disclose the following information:
	gn with the target of reducing carbon emissions or the cives of the Paris Agreement;	NO
the temperature scenario, in accordance with international standards, used for the alignment with the target of reducing GHG emissions or attaining of the objectives of the Paris Agreement;		N/A
the name of the provider of the temperature scenario used for the alignment with the target of reducing GHG emissions or the attainment of the objectives of the Paris Agree ment;		N/A
the methodology used for the measurement of the align ment with the temperature scenario;		N/A
the hyperlink to the website of the temperature scenario used.		N/A
the hyperlink to the website of the temperature scenario used.		N/A
Date on which informat	ion has last been updated and reason for the update:	
September 2019	Initial version	
February 2020	Removal of Freedom Indices	
May 2023	Updated Format	
April 2024	Enriched datapoint disclosure	
30 April 2024 Monthly ESG Disclosure		





Benchmark Statement as of 1-May-2024

Annex 1 - Definition of Key Terms used in the benchmark statement

A natural or legal person that has control over the provision of a benchmark, for the ECPI Index this is StatPro.
Any index by reference to which the amount payable under a financial instrument or a financial contract, or the value of a financial instrument, is determined, or an index that is used to measure the performance of an investment fund with the purpose of tracking the return of such index or of defining the asset allocation of a portfolio or of computing the performance fees.
Regulation (EU) 2016/1011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2016 on indices used as benchmarks in financial instruments and financial contracts or to measure the performance of investment funds and amending Directives 2008/48/EC and 2014/17/EU and Regulation (EU) No 596/2014. The Benchmark Regulations - the Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2018/1643, and the supplementary regulatory technical standards.
The exercise of discretion by an administrator with respect to the use of data in determining a benchmark, including adjusting values for factors that might influence the quality of data such as market events or impairment of a buyer or seller's credit quality, and manually altering constituent weightings.
A group of benchmarks provided by the same administrator and determined from input data of the same nature which provides specific measures of the same or similar market or economic reality.
(a) any credit agreement as defined in point (c) of Article 3 of Directive 2008/48/EC;(b) any credit agreement as defined in point (3) of Article 4 of Directive 2014/17/EU
Means any of the instruments listed in Section C of Annex I to Directive 2014/65/EU for which a request for admission to trading on a trading venue, as defined in point (24) of Article 4(1) of Directive 2014/65/EU, has been made or which is traded on a trading venue as defined in point (24) of Article 4(1) of Directive 2014/65/EU or via a systematic internaliser as defined in point (20) of Article 4(1) of that Directive.
The data in respect of the value of one or more underlying assets, or prices, including estimated prices, quotes, committed quotes or other values, used by StatPro as an administrator to determine a benchmark.
It is an AIF as defined in point (a) of Article 4(1) of Directive 2011/61/EU, or a UCITS as defined in Article 1(2) of Directive 2009/65/EC.
The International Securities Identification Number (ISIN) is a code that uniquely identifies a specific securities issue.
It is a benchmark which is not considered a 'critical benchmark' or 'significant benchmark' according to point 27 of Article 3(1) of Regulation (EU) 2016/1011.
A natural or legal person that uses a benchmark for: (a) issuance of a financial instrument which references an index or a combination of indices; (b) determination of the amount payable under a financial instrument or a financial contract by referencing an index or a combination of indices; (c) being a party to a financial contract which references an index or a combination of indices; (d) providing a borrowing rate as defined in point (j) of Article 3 of Directive 2008/48/EC calculated as a spread or mark-up over an index or a combination of indices and that is solely used as a reference in a financial contract to which the creditor is a party; (e) measuring the performance of an investment fund through an index or a combination of indices for the purpose of tracking the return of such index or combination of indices, of defining the asset allocation of a portfolio, or of computing the performance fees.
The benchmark administrator of the ECPI Index family of indices.
The group of individuals appointed at the administrator to provide supervision of the ECPI indices.
Means observable prices, rates, indices or values representing transactions between unaffiliated counter parties in an active market subject to competitive supply and demand forces.

Disclaimer
This document has been prepared ECPI Sr.I., a company organized and existing under the laws of Italy, with registered office at PLe Blancamano 8, Rooms 106 & 110, - 20121, Italy (*ECPI*) as part of their internal research activity, ECPI SrI is part of Confluence Technologies inc. The information provided herein and, in particular, the data contained in this document are based on public sources believed to be reliable by a final formation contained herein is obtained from sources believed by It to be accurate and reliable. While the opinions and information contained in this document are based on public sources believed to be reliable be and in good faith, ECPI have not independently welfer the accuracy of such public sources believed to be reliable to a final face property of such public sources believed to be reliable by a final face property of such public sources believed to be reliable by a final face property of such public sources believed to be reliable by a final face public sources believed to be reliable by a final face public sources believed to be reliable by a final face public sources believed to be reliable by a final face public sources believed to be reliable by a final face public sources believed to be reliable by a final face public sources believed to be reliable by a final face public sources believed to be reliable by a final face public sources believed to be reliable and and formation and polinosing content and and CPI, in particular, whether expects of might public as to the reliable sources and reliable sources and reliable sources and public sources believed to the sources and and face public sources believed to the sources and and face public sources believed to the sources and sources believed to the sources and sources and